

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,142 | 09/12/2003 | Robert A. Luciano JR. | VOU-98-002-DIV.3 | 7741 |
| 75 | 90 06/03/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| RUSS F. MAR | RSDEN | | NGUYEN | I, KIM T |
| SIERRA DESIG | GN GROUP | | | DARED MANAGER |
| 300 SIERRA MANOR DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RENO. NV 89511 | | | 3713 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/661,142 | LUCIANO, ROBERT A. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Kim Nguyen | 3713 | | | |
| Dorind f | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| | or Reply HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | VIS SET TO EXPIDE 3 MONTH | (S) FROM | | | |
| THE - External after of the control | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regound for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | nely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 s | September 2003. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | , | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | |
| 10) | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | ı)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) |) | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received in Applicat | ion No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | ority documents have been receiv | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | | | | | |
| * | See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachme | | Λ □ 1-4 ···· Λ···· Λ··· | · (DTO 442) | | | |
| | 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Info | (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/03. 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/661,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed September 12, 2003 has been received and considered. By this amendment, claims 1-8 are now pending in the application.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) In claim 1, line 3; and claim 5, lines 3-4, the claimed limitation "at player terminals" should be corrected to "at *the* player terminals".
- b) In claim 1, lines 3-4; and claim 5, line 4, the claimed limitation "where player terminals" should be corrected to "where *the* player terminals".
- c) In claim 1, lines 22-23, the claimed limitation "currency dispense" should be corrected to "cash dispenser".
- d) In claim 5, line 9, the claimed limitation "<u>a</u> transaction identification" should be corrected to "the transaction identification".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,142 Page 3

Art Unit: 3713

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns et al (US Patent No. 6,048,269).

As per claim 1, Burns discloses a cash/voucher terminal comprising player terminals 200 (Fig. 1) which accepts cashless voucher, the cashless voucher comprises a transaction identification (Fig. 3). The cash/voucher terminal comprises an enclosure 300 (Fig. 1), a cashless voucher reader 304 (Fig. 1), a cash dispenser 308 (Fig. 1); the cash/voucher terminal is configured to have no game therein (col. 3, lines 55-56), to receive and to send cashless voucher indicia to a network, to receive data from the network, and to send cash value to the cash dispenser (col. 6, lines 28-36; col. 7, lines 9-11 and 20-25). Burns does not disclose not to adapt the player terminal to accept cash, and implementing a network interface to the voucher terminal. However, Burns discloses providing a capability to accept voucher from the player terminal (col. 2, lines 52-56). Further, implementing a player terminal that does not accept cash would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to eliminate the currency slot of the player terminal of Burns, since eliminating a feature of a machine when its functionality is not needed requires only routine skill in the art. Further, since Burns teaches capability of communicating information from the voucher terminal to a host computer and since implementing a network interface for facilitating communicating data between terminals would have been well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement network interface

Application/Control Number: 10/661,142

Page 4

Art Unit: 3713

between the voucher terminal and the host CPU or other terminals in order to facilitate transferring data between computer devices.

- b. As per claim 2, Burns discloses encoding the transaction identification (col. 7, lines 61-64). Further, encrypting a transaction identification would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to encrypt the transaction identification of Burns in order to enforce security when transmitting sensitive data over network.
- c. As per claim 3-4, Burns does not disclose that the transaction identification comprises at least a time derived value. However, Burns discloses generating a unique transaction identification using random number generator (col. 6, lines 23-28). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the transaction identification derived from random number of Burns with the transaction identification derived from a time, since assigning a unique transaction identification in a specific format according to a designer's preference requires only routine skill in the art.

 Applicant in the specification page 9, lines 10-16, has admitted this obviousness.
- d. As per claim 5, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. Further, Burns does not explicitly disclose a database that stores transaction identification. However, Burns discloses storing the transaction identification in association with a value (col. 6, lines 33-36). Further, using a database to maintain the transaction identification in association with a value would have been old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/661,142 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

at the time the invention was made to replace the memory of Burns with a well known database

in order to facilitate data retrieval.

e. As per claim 6-8, refer to discussion in claims 2-4 above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713

kn

Date: May 27, 2004